

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 ANTHONY GARRETT, SR., et al.,

8 Plaintiff(s),

9 v.

10 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
11 DEPARTMENT, et al.,

12 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:19-CV-1159 JCM (VCF)

ORDER

13
14 Presently before the court is the matter of *Garrett Sr. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police*
15 *Department*, case number 2:19-cv-01159-JCM-VCF.

16 On October 18, 2019, petitioner Jamon Hicks filed a petition for permission to practice
17 *pro hac vice*. (ECF No. 8). Hicks failed to have the verified petition notarized, so a minute
18 order was entered directing Hicks to refile the petition with a notary's signature and seal. (ECF
19 No. 9); *see* LR IA 11-2(a) ("Applications must be by verified petition on the form furnished by
20 the clerk."). Hicks refiled the petition on October 22, 2019, properly notarized but without an
21 appropriate signature from plaintiff or plaintiff's authorized representative. (ECF No. 11).
22 Another minute order was then entered directing Hicks to refile the petition in compliance with
23 Local Rule IA 11-2. (ECF No. 12). No revised petition has since been filed.

24 Therefore, the court will deny Hicks' verified petition (ECF No. 8). *See* LR IA 11-2(h)
25 ("The court may grant or deny a petition to practice under this rule.").

26 ...

27 ...


28 ...

1 Hicks shall file, within ten (10) days of the entry of this order, a verified petition that
2 fully complies with the applicable local rules. Failure to timely comply will result in “the
3 striking of any and all documents previously filed by the attorney.” LR IA 11-2(j).

4 Accordingly,

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 DATED December 11, 2019.

7 
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE